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In a previous series of papers (Benamar 1990 Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southampton; Benamar et al. 1991 Journal of Sound and Vibration 149, 179-195; 164, 399-424 [1-3]) a general model based on Hamilton's principle and spectral analysis has been developed for non-linear free vibrations occurring at large displacement amplitudes of fully clamped beams and rectangular homogeneous and composite plates. The results obtained with this model corresponding to the first non-linear mode shape of a clamped-clamped (CC) beam and to the first non-linear mode shape of a CC plate are in good agreement with those obtained in previous experimental studies (Benamar et al. 1991 Journal of Sound and Vibration 149, 179-195; 164, 399-424 [2, 3]). More recently, this model has been re-derived (Azar et al. 1999 Journal of Sound and Vibration 224, 377-395; submitted [4, 5]) using spectral analysis, Lagrange's equations and the harmonic balance method, and applied to obtain the non-linear steady state forced periodic response of simply supported (SS), CC, and simply supported-clamped (SSC) beams. The practical application of this approach to engineering problems necessitates the use of appropriate software in each case or use of published tables of data, obtained from numerical solution of the non-linear algebraic system, corresponding to each problem. The present work was an attempt to develop a more practical simple "multi-mode theory" based on the linearization of the non-linear algebraic equations, written on the modal basis, in the neighbourhood of each resonance. The purpose was to derive simple formulae, which are easy to use, for engineering purposes. In this paper, two models are proposed. The first is concerned with displacement amplitudes of vibration $W_{\max } / H$, obtained at the beam centre, up to about 0.7 times the beam thickness and the second may be used for higher amplitudes $W_{\max } / H$ up to about 1.5 times the beam thickness. This new approach has been successfully used in the free vibration case to the first, second and third non-linear modes shapes of CC beams and to the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam. It has also been applied to obtain the non-linear steady state periodic forced response of CC and CSS beams, excited harmonically with concentrated and distributed forces.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The topic of non-linear vibration of beams is of continuing interest, due to their frequent use as experimental test pieces [6-8] and because they constitute the simplest case of a continuous system, since their motion is represented by one-dimensional partial differential equations in space. Hence, they may be very useful for exploring and validating new theoretical and numerical approaches in the field of non-linear structural dynamics. As stated in reference [9], in spite of the considerable amount of research which has been carried out in the last few decades on non-linear vibrations, linear theories remain widely used in most of the practical applications, particularly in the field of modal testing. This may be attributed, among other reasons, to the fact that the various attempts to describe mathematically non-linear structural dynamic behaviour which have been developed and presented in the literature in the last few decades still appear somewhat esoteric and difficult to deal with in practical situations. This is either because the theories are quite complex and involve a variety of new concepts and predict a variety of new phenomena, unexpected within the frame of linear theories or because the associated software is not available, or is practically difficult to use. This seems to be the reason why it is sometimes thought among the scientific community working in the field of structural dynamics that non-linearity is a matter which may be dealt with only by few initiated people, or which should be considered, as stated in reference [9], as "a collective term for what we cannot accommodate or explain". Therefore, while theoretical and experimental investigations should be continued in order to discover and describe new fascinating aspects and to develop new sophisticated analysis tools, appropriate to the non-linear world, much effort has to be directed towards studying at least some of the most important among the already known non-linear effects. These include the non-linear increase in resonant frequency and stresses with the amplitude of vibration, or the significant contributions of the higher modes in the non-linear steady state periodic forced response, which should be made reasonably easy to take into account in engineering applications, especially those related to structural safety. Such an effort will have impact on the design of high-performance structures, aircraft for example, but also will provide engineers, designers, and scientists with appropriate tools ensuring more accuracy and efficiency in current situations. The aim of this paper is the presentation of a contribution to this effort. The non-linear free and forced response of CC and CSS beams at large vibration amplitudes is taken as a first example illustrating this new approach. The application to plates will be presented later.

Previous experimental and theoretical studies have shown that the fundamental and higher mode shapes of beams are clearly dependent on the amplitude of vibration. High values of increase of beam curvatures were noticed near the clamps of structures with constrained ends, causing a highly non-linear increase in bending strain with increasing deflection, instead of the linear rate of increase predicted by the linear theory [7]. It has also been shown that such a non-linear effect may have a significant effect on the structural fatigue life [8].

In a previous series of works [1-3, 10-13], a model based on Hamilton's principle and spectral analysis has been developed for non-linear free vibrations of thin straight structures, such as beams, homogeneous and composite plates, and shells. In this model, the non-linear free vibration problem has been reduced to the solution of a set of non-linear algebraic equations, which has been performed numerically using appropriate algorithms in order to obtain a set of non-linear mode shapes for the structure considered in each case, with the corresponding amplitude-dependent non-linear frequencies. Experimental non-linear CC beam first mode shape data obtained in reference [7] for a non-dimensional vibration displacement amplitude at the beam centre of $W_{\max } / H \approx 2 \cdot 04$, and results
obtained from solution of the set of non-linear algebraic equations corresponding to the same non-dimensional amplitude have shown that the curve for the measured normalized mode shape is well above the normalized theoretical linear mode shape but very close to that of the normalized non-linear theoretical mode calculated using the non-linear model mentioned above [2]. More recently, this model has been re-derived, using spectral analysis and Lagrange's equations, and has been extended to the non-linear steady state periodic forces response, leading to a set of coupled partial derivative equations which has been considered as a multi-dimensional form of the very well-known Duffing equation. Assuming harmonic motion and applying the harmonic balance method, the above set has been transformed into a set of non-linear algebraic equations with a rightside term corresponding to the generalized forces depending on the type of excitation force (concentrated or distributed) and its domain of application. This approach has been applied to determine numerically a multi-mode steady state periodic forced response of SS, CC, and SSC beams [4,5], and the validity of the results obtained has been established via a careful comparison with other approaches and with experimental measurements [1, 4, 5, 13]. The main features of the approach presented above are from reference [1].

1) It is not subject to the practical limitation of weak non-linearity in its formulation, as was the case for some models for non-linear vibration based on the perturbation procedure developed previously. ${ }^{\dagger}$
2) Its formulation is quite simple and does not contain any incremental procedure as in some finite element approaches.
3) Periodic solutions can be obtained directly with any desired accuracy as solutions of the set of non-linear algebraic equations.
4) Once the most significant contributing functions are known, engineering applications can be made easily using data tables or rapid computer programs using only a small number of appropriate functions.
5) Once the contributions of the functions are calculated, the resulting strains and stresses can be obtained directly, using the analytical expressions for the derivatives of the basic functions.
6) Also, this method makes the non-linear effects appear not only via the amplitude frequency dependence of the displacement amplitude, but also via the dependence of the deflection shape on the amplitude of vibration. This allows quantitative estimate of the non-linear stresses in sensible regions of the structure to be obtained, which is of crucial importance in the fatigue life prediction of structures working in a severe environment.

However, although the works mentioned above made it quite easy to calculate the non-linear mode shapes, the non-linear frequencies and the non-linear bending stress patterns of the structures considered, via the numerical solution, of a small set of non-linear algebraic equations ( 5 for beams [2], 8 for fully clamped plates [3], 11 for shells [12], 17 for CCCSS plates [15], 17 for fully clamped composite plates [16]), it was thought that investigations could be directed towards a further step in the development of a sort of non-linear modal analysis theory. This should allow explicit and easy calculation of the non-linear free and steady state periodic forced response of thin straight structures, in terms of their classical mass and rigidity matrices, the non-linear geometrical rigidity tensor introduced in the above model, and the amplitude of vibration. It was also hoped that such an attempt could provide users with simple formulae, ready to use for engineering purposes,

[^0]which would be, in their corresponding intervals of validity, much more practical than the published tables of data, obtained from the numerical solution of a non-linear algebraic system, which necessitates the use of appropriate software in each case. Also, these new formulae could be interesting from the analytical point of view, since they may be implemented in further theoretical works, investigating other non-linear effects, such as the non-linear response harmonic distortion spatial distribution, the internal resonance, fatigue life predicting models, etc.

The purpose of this paper is the presentation of the results of this investigation and discussion of the range of validity of the simple formulae proposed for both the non-linear free and steady state periodic forced response of CC and CSS beams. The plate case will be presented later.

In the next section, a review of the theory mentioned above and some numerical results obtained by solving the non-linear algebraic equations are presented. The third section is concerned with the new approach for free vibration analysis. The theory and numerical results for the first three non-linear modes of CC beams and the first non-linear mode of SSC beams are presented. In the fourth section, the theoretical formulation for the forced case is derived and applied for a harmonic concentrated excitation force. In each section, the results obtained by the new approach are discussed, to determine accurately the limit of validity of each formulation, via comparison with previous known results.

## 2. REVIEW OF THE BASIC THEORY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE NON-LINEAR MODE SHAPES AND RESONANCE FREQUENCIES OF BEAMS AT LARGE VIBRATION AMPLITUDES

Since the objective of the present paper is to present an improvement of the theoretical model for non-linear free and forced vibrations developed in references [1, 2, 4, 5], one starts by presenting in this section a brief review of the theory, in order to make to easy for the reader to understand the notation and the analytical developments presented in the next sections.

Consider transverse vibrations of a beam having the geometrical and material characteristics ( $S, L, H, I, E, \rho$ ) defined in the notation list. The total beam strain energy can be written as the sum of the strain energy due to bending denoted as $V_{b}$, plus the axial strain energy due to the axial load induced by large deflection $V_{a}$.
$V_{b}, V_{a}$ and the kinetic energy $T$ are given by [1, 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} E I\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad V_{a}=\frac{E S}{8 L}\left(\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad T=\frac{1}{2} \rho S \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $W$ is the beam transverse displacement. Using a generalized parameterization and the usual summation convention used in reference [2], the transverse displacement can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, t)=q_{i}(t) w_{i}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $W$ in the expressions for $V_{b}, V_{a}, T$ and rearranging leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b}=\frac{1}{2} q_{i} q_{j} k_{i j}, \quad V_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2} q_{i} q_{j} q_{k} q_{l} b_{i j k l}, \quad T=\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{i} \dot{q}_{j} m_{i j} \tag{5-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i j}, k_{i j}$ and $b_{i j k l}$ are defined in references [1,2] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i j}=\rho S \int_{0}^{L} w_{i}(x) w_{j}(x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad k_{i j}=\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{8,9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j k l}=\frac{E S}{4 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x}\right) \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial w_{k}}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{w_{l}}{\partial x}\right) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dynamic behaviour of the structure may be obtained by Lagrange's equations for a conservative system, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\partial / \partial t)\left(\partial T / \partial \dot{q}_{r}\right)+\partial T / \partial q_{r}-\partial V / \partial q_{r}=0, \quad r=1, \ldots, n . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing in this equation $T$ and $V=\left(V_{a}+V_{b}\right)$ by their expressions given above, leads to the following set of coupled Duffing equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{q}_{i} m_{i r}+q_{i} k_{i r}+2 q_{i} q_{j} q_{k} b_{i j k r}=0, \quad r=1, \ldots, n, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{M}]\{\ddot{\mathbf{q}}\}+[\mathbf{K}]\{\mathbf{q}\}+2[\mathbf{B}(\{\mathbf{q}\})]\{\mathbf{q}\}=\{\mathbf{0}\}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbf{M}],[\mathbf{K}],[\mathbf{B}]$ and $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ are respectively the mass matrix, linear rigidity matrix, non-linear rigidity matrix depending on $\{\mathbf{q}\}$ and the column vector of generalized parameters $\{\mathbf{q}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[q_{1} q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right]$.

Now assuming harmonic motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}(t)=a_{i} \cos (\omega t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting equation (14) into equation (13) and applying the harmonic balance method leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left([\mathbf{K}]-\omega^{2}[\mathbf{M}]\right)\{\mathbf{A}\}+3[\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})]\{\mathbf{A}\}=\{\mathbf{0}\}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\{\mathbf{A}\}$ is the column vector of the basic functions contribution coefficients

$$
\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left\{a_{1} a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} .
$$

To obtain non-dimensional parameters, one puts, as in reference [1],

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{i}(x)=H w_{i}^{*}(x / L)=H w_{i}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right), \quad \omega^{2} / \omega^{* 2}=E I / \rho S L^{4},  \tag{16,17}\\
k_{i j} / k_{i j}^{*}=E I H^{2} / L^{3}, \quad m_{i j} / m_{i j}^{*}=\rho S H^{2} L, \quad b_{i j k l} / b_{i j k l}^{*}=E I H^{2} / L^{3}, \tag{18-20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting these equations into equation (15) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}^{*}\right]\right)\{\mathbf{A}\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\mathbf{B}^{*}(\mathbf{A})\right]\{\mathbf{A}\}=\{\mathbf{0}\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be written also, using tensor notation, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega^{* 2} a_{i} m_{i r}^{*}+a_{i} k_{i r}^{*}+\frac{3}{2} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=0, \quad r=1, \ldots, n . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (22) is identical to that obtained in reference [2] for the non-linear free vibrations of beams and plates using Hamilton's principle and integration over the range $(0,2 \pi / \omega)$. These equations are a set of non-linear algebraic equations, involving the parameters $m_{i j}^{*}$, $k_{i j}^{*}$ and $b_{i j k l}^{*}$ which have been computed numerically by a routine called PREP. In order to obtain the numerical solution for the non-linear problem in the neighbourhood of a given mode, the contribution of this mode is chosen and those of other modes are calculated numerically using the Harwell library routine NS01A. For the first mode, the procedure
consisted of fixing $a_{1}$ and calculating the higher mode contributions from the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega^{* 2} a_{i} m_{i r}^{*}+a_{i} k_{i r}^{*}+\frac{3}{2} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=0, \quad r>1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\omega^{* 2}$ is obtained from the principle of conservation of energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{* 2}=\left(a_{i} a_{j} k_{i j}^{*}+a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l} b_{i j k l}^{*}\right) / a_{i} a_{j} m_{i j}^{*} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical data corresponding to the first three CC beam mode shapes have been computed and tabulated ref. [2] for a wide range of vibration amplitudes.

## 3. THE NEW APPROACH FOR LARGE-AMPLITUDE FREE VIBRATIONS OF BEAMS

### 3.1. GENERAL FORMULATION

The purpose of this paper is to replace the numerical solution of the set of non-linear algebraic equations (23), necessary to obtain the beam non-linear mode shapes and resonance frequencies at large vibration amplitudes, by two equivalent simple formulations, ready to use for engineering purposes. Then, comparison of the new results with the previous ones is made in order to determine exactly the limit of validity of each formulation. Analytical details are given in this section for the first three non-linear mode shapes of a CC beam. Results for the case of a CSS beam, obtained similarly are presented in section 3.2.3.

Consider the large vibration displacements of a beam in the neighbourhood of its first resonant frequency. Following the basic functions choice adopted in reference [2], to obtain the values of the linear rigidity matrix $\mathbf{k}_{i j}^{*}$ and non-linear geometrical rigidity tensor $b_{i j k l}^{*}$ of the first and third non-linear mode shapes of a CC beam, the first six normalized symmetric CC beam functions $w_{1}^{*}, w_{3}^{*}, \ldots, w_{11}^{*}$ have been used (see Appendix A). The functions $w_{i}^{*}$ have been normalized in such a manner that the obtained mass matrix equals the identity matrix. For the second non-linear mode shape, the first six antisymmetric CC beam functions $w_{2}^{*}, w_{4}^{*}, \ldots, w_{12}^{*}$ have been used to determine the modal parameters $k_{i j}^{*}$ and $b_{i j k l}^{*}$. In the case of the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam, the first six normalized CSS beam functions denoted $\phi_{1}^{*}, \phi_{2}^{*}, \ldots, \phi_{6}^{*}$, have been used to calculate the modal parameters, which are given in Appendix A.

To illustrate the main idea behind the present approach, data obtained via the solution of the non-linear algebraic system (23) previously published in reference [2] are presented here in Table 1(a). It can be seen in this table, corresponding to the CC beam first non-linear mode shape, that the contribution $a_{1}$ of the first basic function, which is the first CC beam linear mode shape, remains predominant for the whole range of vibration amplitudes considered, compared to the contributions of the other functions. So the contribution coefficient vector $\{\mathbf{A}\}$ defined in reference [2] by $\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{11}\right]$ can be written as $\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1} \varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}\right]$ in which $\varepsilon_{i}$, representing the $i$ th basic function contribution, may be considered as small, compared to $a_{1}$, for $i=3,5, \ldots, 11$. Since the non-linearity parameters $b_{i j k l}$ defined in equation (10) are of the same order of magnitude (see Appendix A), due to the above observation, some terms may be neglected in the non-linear expression $a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}$ in equation (23), which leads to two simple formulations, called in the remainder of this paper the first and the second formulation.

### 3.1.1. The first formulation

The first formulation is based on an approximation which consists in neglecting in the expression $a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}$ of equation (23) both first and second order terms with respect to $\varepsilon_{i}$,
i.e., terms of the type $a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{k} b_{11 k r}$ or of the type $a_{1} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{k} b_{1 j k r}$ so that the only remaining term is $a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*}$ and equation (23) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{i r}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i r}^{*}\right) \varepsilon_{i}+\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*}=0, \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the repeated index $i$ is summed over the range $(1,3, \ldots, 11)$.
Since the use of linear beam mode shapes as basic functions leads to diagonal mass and rigidity matrices, equation (25) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{i r}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i r}^{*}\right) \varepsilon_{i}+\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*}=0, \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which no summation is involved. The above system permits one to obtain explicitly the modal contributions $\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}$ of the second and higher basic functions corresponding to a given value of the assigned first basic function contribution $a_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r}=-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*} /\left(k_{r r}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{r r}^{*}\right), \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's, for $r>1$, depend on the classical modal parameters $m_{r r}, k_{r r}$, the non-linear modal parameters $b_{111 r}$, the assigned first function contribution $a_{1}$, and the non-linear frequency parameter $\omega^{*}$. On the other hand, it is shown in reference [2] that the single-mode approach gives an accurate estimate of the non-linear frequency parameter $\omega^{*}$ for displacement amplitudes up to twice the beam thickness, so that $\omega^{* 2}$ may be well estimated, with a percentage error below $0 \cdot 84 \%$ from equation (24) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{* 2}=k_{11}^{*} / m_{11}^{*}+\left(b_{1111}^{*} / m_{11}^{*}\right) a_{1}^{2} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (28) into equation (27) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r}=3 a_{1}^{3} b_{r 111}^{*} / 2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right) m_{r r}^{*} / m_{11}^{*}-k_{r r}^{*}\right), \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11 . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the normalization procedure adopted in reference [2, equation (43)] leads to a mass matrix identical to the identity matrix, $m_{11}^{*}$ and $m_{r r}^{*}$ are equal to 1 and equation (28) may be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{r}=3 a_{1}^{3} b_{r 111}^{*} /\left(2\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}-k_{r r}^{*}\right)\right), \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (30) is an explicit simple formula, allowing calculation of the higher mode contributions to the first non-linear beam mode shape, as functions of the assigned first mode contribution $a_{1}$ and of the known parameters $k_{r r}, m_{r r}$ and $b_{111 r}$ (given in Appendix A), which defines the first non-linear amplitude-dependent beam mode shape $w_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)$ for a given assigned value $a_{1}$ of the first function contribution as a series involving the beam modal parameters depending on the first six symmetric CC beam functions $w_{1}^{*}, w_{3}^{*}, \ldots, w_{11}^{*}$

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)= & a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{3111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{33}^{*}\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{5111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{55}^{*}\right)} w_{5}^{*}(x)+\cdots+\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{11 \cdot 111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{11 \cdot 11}^{*}\right)} w_{11}^{*}(x) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the predominant term, proportional to the first linear mode shape, is $a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(x)$, and other terms, proportional to the higher modes $w_{3}^{*}(x), \ldots, w_{11}^{*}(x)$, are the corrections due to the non-linearity.

The second amplitude-dependent beam non-linear mode shape $w_{n 12}^{*}\left(x, a_{2}\right)$ for a given assigned value $a_{2}$ of the second function contribution is given similarly by

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{n 12}^{*}\left(x, a_{2}\right)= & a_{2} w_{2}^{*}(x)+\frac{3 a_{2}^{3} b_{4222}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{22}^{*}+a_{2}^{2} b_{2222}^{*}\right)-k_{44}^{*}\right)} w_{4}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{3 a_{2}^{3} b_{6222}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{22}^{*}+a_{2}^{2} b_{2222}^{*}\right)-k_{66}^{*}\right)} w_{6}^{*}(x)+\cdots+\frac{3 a_{2}^{3} b_{12 \cdot 222}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{22}^{*}+a_{2}^{2} b_{2222}^{*}\right)-k_{12 \cdot 12}^{*}\right)} w_{12}^{*}(x) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

The third non-linear amplitude-dependent beam mode shape $w_{n 13}^{*}\left(x, a_{3}\right)$ for a given assigned value $a_{3}$ of the third function contribution may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{n 13}^{*}\left(x, a_{3}\right)= & \frac{3 a_{3}^{3} b_{1333}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{33}^{*}+a_{3}^{2} b_{3333}^{*}\right)-k_{11}^{*}\right)} w_{1}^{*}(x)+a_{3} w_{3}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{3 a_{3}^{3} b_{5333}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{33}^{*}+a_{3}^{2} b_{3333}^{*}\right)-k_{55}^{*}\right)} w_{5}^{*}(x)+\cdots+\frac{3 a_{3}^{3} b_{11333}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{1111}^{*}+a_{3}^{2} b_{3333}^{*}\right)-k_{1111}^{*}\right)} w_{11}^{*}(x) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain, more generally, the beam $r$ th non-linear mode shape, the contributions $\varepsilon_{i}$ of the $i$ th basic function can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i}=-\frac{\frac{3}{2} a_{r}^{3} b_{i r r r}^{*}}{k_{i i}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i i}^{*}}=\frac{3 a_{r}^{3} b_{i r r r}^{*}}{2\left(k_{r r}^{*}+a_{r}^{2} b_{r r r r}^{*}-k_{i i}^{*}\right)}, \quad i \neq r . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The range of validity of these expressions is quite interesting. It will be discussed in the next section for the various modes and beams considered.

### 3.1.2. Second formulation

As will be shown in section 3.2, the explicit formulae established for the various non-linear beam mode shapes considered via the first formulation developed in the above subsection yield accurate results for quite large ranges of vibration amplitudes. For higher amplitudes, a second formulation has been considered in which only second order terms of the type $\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} a_{1} b_{i j 1 r}$ are neglected when considering the first non-linear mode, in equation (23), rewritten here for clarity as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega^{* 2} a_{i} m_{i r}^{*}+a_{i} k_{i r}^{*}+\frac{3}{2} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=0, \quad r=3,5, \ldots, 11 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating in the non-linear expression $a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}$ terms proportional to $a_{1}^{3}$, terms proportional to $a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i}$, and neglecting terms proportional to $a_{1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} b_{11 i r}^{*} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substituting and rearranging, equation (35) can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}_{R \mathrm{R}}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}_{R \mathrm{RI}}^{*}\right]\right)\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{I}}\right\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}^{*}\right]\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{I}}\right\}=\left\{-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i 11 l}^{*}\right\}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\left[\mathbf{K}_{R I}^{*}\right]=\left[\mathbf{k}_{i j}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{M}_{R \mathrm{I}}^{*}\right]=\left[\mathbf{m}_{i j}^{*}\right]$ are reduced rigidity and mass matrices associated with the first non-linear mode, obtained by varying $i$ and $j$ in the set $(3,5, \ldots, 11)$, $\left[\alpha_{1}^{*}\right]$ is a $5 \times 5$ square matrix, depending on $a_{1}$, whose general term $\alpha_{i j}^{*}$ is equal to $a_{1}^{2} b_{i j 11}^{*}$, and $\left\{-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i 111}^{*}\right\}$ is a column vector representing the right side of the linear system (37) in which the reduced unknown vector is $\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R I}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}\right]$. The modal contributions $\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}$ can be obtained very easily by solving the linear system (37) of five equations and five unknowns.

To obtain the second non-linear beam mode shape, a linear system similar to (37) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}_{R \mathrm{II}}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}_{R \mathrm{III}}^{*}\right]\right)\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{II}}\right\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{II}}^{*}\right]\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{II}}\right\}=\left\{-\frac{3}{2} a_{2}^{3} b_{i 222}^{*}\right\}, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the general term of the matrix $\left[\alpha_{I I}^{*}\right]$ is equal to $a_{2}^{2} b_{i j 22}^{*},\left[\mathbf{K}_{R I I}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{M}_{R I I}^{*}\right]$ are reduced rigidity and mass matrices corresponding to the second mode and $\left\{-\frac{3}{2} a_{2}^{3} b_{i 222}^{*}\right\}$ is a column vector representing the right side of the linear system (38) in which the reduced unknown vector is $\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R I I}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{4}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{12}\right]$. The modal contributions can also be obtained similarly by solving a reduced linear system of five equations and five unknowns. Higher non-linear mode shapes may be obtained in a similar manner, using appropriate reduced matrices in each case.

### 3.1.3. Conclusions

It appears from the above two subsections that the basic function contributions to the amplitude-dependent non-linear beam mode shapes may be calculated via the first formulation using simple explicit expressions involving the beam generalized parameters $m_{i j}, k_{i j}$ and $b_{i j k l}$. As will be shown in section 3.2 in the light of the numerical results obtained, these simple expressions yield accurate values for the basic function contributions, for vibration amplitudes, up to about 0.7 times the beam thickness. For higher amplitudes, more accurate results may be obtained, based on the second formulation, via a solution of a reduced linear system of five equations and five unknowns for vibration amplitudes, up to about 1.5 times the beam thickness.
3.2. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE NUMERICAL RESULTS OBTAINED BY THE NEW APPROACH CORRESPONDING TO THE FIRST THREE NON-LINEAR MODE SHAPES OF A CC BEAM

### 3.2.1. First formulation results

Replacing in equation (31) the CC modal parameters by their numerical values given in Appendix A leads to the following expression of the first non-linear CC beam mode shape:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)= & a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{-1077 \cdot 21 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-14617 \cdot 63\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-842 \cdot 427 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-89135 \cdot 40\right)} w_{5}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-675 \cdot 27 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-308208 \cdot 45\right)} w_{7}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{-560 \cdot 142 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-793406 \cdot 25\right)} w_{9}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-470 \cdot 475 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-1691832 \cdot 35\right)} w_{11}^{*}(x) . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

In Table 1(b), numerical results for modal contributions to the fundamental non-linear mode shape of a CC beam, calculated here via the first formulation, i.e., equation (39), are summarized. The results given correspond to the values of $\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}$ obtained for some assigned values of $a_{1}$ varying from 0.05 to 0.8 which correspond to a maximum non-dimensional vibration amplitude at the beam centre varying from 0.0794 to $1 \cdot 225$. For each solution, the corresponding values of $\omega_{n 1}^{*} / \omega_{1}^{*}$ and the curvature calculated at $x^{*}=0$ are also given. Comparison between Tables 1(b) and (a) taken from reference [2] where the modal contributions have been calculated via the solution of the complete non-linear algebraic system, shows that the higher basic function contributions to the first non-linear beam mode shape obtained from the explicit expressions based on the first formulation are very close to those calculated via the solution of a non-linear algebraic system for finite amplitudes of vibration up to a displacement equal to the beam thickness (which corresponds to $a_{1} \cong 0.67$ ). For higher values of the vibration amplitude, slight differences start to appear and increase with the amplitude of vibration. This may be seen in Figures (1)-(5), in which contributions obtained from the first and second formulations are plotted versus the maximum non-dimensional beam vibration amplitude $W_{\max } / H$ obtained at the beam centre and compared with the exact numerical solution. To have an accurate conclusion concerning the limit of validity of the first explicit formulation in engineering applications, a criterion based on the effect of the differences appearing in the estimated contributions to physical quantities, such as the non-linear frequency and the curvature at the beam ends has been adopted. It was found, as may be seen in Tables 1(a-c), that for amplitudes up to the beam thickness, the error induced by the first formulation does not exceed $0 \cdot 1 \%$ for the non-linear frequency and $3 \%$ for the curvature (and hence the non-linear bending stress) at the beam clamps. This effect is shown in Figures (6) and (7), in


Figure 1. Comparison between the values of the modal contribution $\varepsilon_{3}$ of the first non-linear mode of the free vibration of a CC beam obtained by (1) non-linear algebraic equations; (2) first formulation and (3) second formulation.


Figure 2. Comparison between the values of the modal contribution $\varepsilon_{5}$ of the first non-linear mode of the free vibration of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 3. Comparison between the values of the modal contribution $\varepsilon_{7}$ of the first non-linear mode of the free vibration of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.
which the curvature and the non-linear frequency, obtained via the three approaches, are plotted for a wide range of vibration amplitudes.

In Tables 2 and 3, numerical results for modal contribution to the second and third CC beam non-linear mode shapes calculated via the different formulations are summarized. Comparison of these tables leads to the same conclusion as that given above for the fundamental non-linear mode - the higher basic functions contributions to the second and third non-linear mode shapes obtained from the explicit expressions based on the first formulation are very close to those calculated via the solution of the non-linear algebraic system for finite amplitudes of vibration up to $0 \cdot 8$ times the beam thickness which corresponds to $a_{1} \cong 0 \cdot 5$. In Figures $8-11$, the curvatures and the non-linear resonant frequency associated with the second and the third non-linear mode calculated here using the first formulation are plotted versus the maximum non-dimensional beam vibration amplitude obtained in the neighbourhood of $x^{*}=0 \cdot 29$ and $0 \cdot 20$ respectively. It is noticable


Figure 4. Comparison between the values of the modal contribution $\varepsilon_{9}$ of the first non-linear mode of the free vibration of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 5. Comparison between the values of the modal contribution $\varepsilon_{11}$ of the first non-linear mode of the free vibration of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.
that for values of vibration amplitude below 0.8 times the beam thickness, the difference between the present solution and that obtained from the exact solution of the non-linear algebraic system does not exceed $1 \cdot 1 \%$ for the non-linear resonance frequency for the second non-linear mode and $1 \cdot 4 \%$ for the third mode, and does not exceed $1 \cdot 1 \%$ for the curvature at the beam end associated with the second mode, and $2.5 \%$ for the curvature associated with the third mode.
3.2.1.1. Effect of various truncations of the series defined in equation (39). As may be seen from the table of contributions of the higher modes to the first non-linear mode shape, the contribution of a basic function $n$ decreases generally when $n$ increases, and the contributions of the highest functions are very small for small amplitudes, but increase with amplitude. It may be concluded from these two observations that many increasing ranges of vibration amplitude may be considered to correspond to successive truncations of the series
(39) defining the non-linear mode shape. To define these ranges, one considers now the effect of various possible truncations of the series (39) on the estimated non-linear frequency and bending moments at the beam end. In Table 15 of reference [5], the values of the bending moments calculated at $x^{*}=0$, for the fundamental mode shape of a CC beam and for various truncations of the series are summarized. Comparison of the percentage of error induced on the bending moments by the different models permits one to conclude that the fundamental mode shape can be approximated with a percentage error which does not exceed $2 \%$, using the 2-D model, for amplitudes up to 0.7 times the beam thickness, so that the fundamental non-linear mode shape may be given, for this range of amplitudes of vibration, by the following expression involving only two basic functions, namely

$$
W_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)=a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{-1077 \cdot 21 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-14617 \cdot 63\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x) .
$$

For amplitudes of vibration up to the beam thickness, the 3-D model may be used leading to the expression involving three basic functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)= & a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{-1077 \cdot 21 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-14617 \cdot 63\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-842 \cdot 427 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(500 \cdot 56+453 \cdot 87 a_{1}^{2}\right)-89135 \cdot 40\right)} w_{5}^{*}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table 4, a summary is given of the errors induced in the frequency and the moment calculated at $x^{*}=0$ by the different models for chosen values of the maximum non-dimensional vibration amplitude in each case.

### 3.2.2. Second formulation results

In Tables 1(c), 2(c) and 3(c), the modal contributions to the fundamental, the second and the third non-linear mode shapes of a CC beam, calculated via the second formulation are summarized. It is noticable from comparison of these tables with those obtained via the solution of the non-linear algebraic system, i.e., Tables $1(a-c)$, and from figures $7-11$, that the corresponding intervals of validity largely exceed those obtained from the first formulation and can reach vibration amplitudes up to 2.8 times the beam thickness for the first mode, $3 \cdot 2$ and $2 \cdot 3$ times the beam thickness for the second and third modes respectively.

It is also noticable that for values of vibration amplitude below 1.5 times the beam thickness, the difference between the exact solution and that obtained by the second formulation does not exceed $0 \cdot 1 \%$ for the non-linear resonance frequency of the first non-linear mode, $0 \cdot 82 \%$ for the second non-linear mode and $3 \cdot 2 \%$ for the third non-linear mode, and does not exceed $1 \cdot 6 \%$ for the curvature at the beam end associated with the first non-linear mode, $2 \cdot 25 \%$ for the second mode and $0.3 \%$ for the curvature associated with the third mode.

### 3.2.3. Presentation and discussion of the numerical results corresponding to the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam

In Tables $5(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})$, the modal contributions to the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam obtained via the solution of the non-linear algebraic system (23) are presented. It can be seen in this table that the contribution $a_{1}$ of the first basic function, which is the first CSS beam linear mode shape, remains predominant, so that the contribution coefficient vector

## Table 1

(a) Free vibration in the first non-linear mode of a CC beam obtained numerically from solution of the non-linear algebraic equations, published in reference [2]

| $\omega^{*} n 1 / \omega 1$ | $\mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{7}$ | $a_{9}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.001 | $0 \cdot 223911 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4765 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 5944 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 1374 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 4423 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $0 \cdot 1749 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| 1.148 | $0 \cdot 296695 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.7213 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.9921E-03 | 0.2382E-03 | $0.7824 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.3131 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.196 | $0 \cdot 356782 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0•1097E-01 | 0•1556E-02 | 0.3783E-03 | 0.1250E-03 | 0.5025E-04 |
| 1.247 | $0 \cdot 421030 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1564 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2289E-02 | $0.5642 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.1879E-03 | $0.7581 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.550 | $0.809348 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5144 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 8920 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2378E-02 | $0.8263 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.3422E-03 |
| 1.617 | $0 \cdot 900628 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 6073 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0•1088E-01 | 0.2950E-02 | 0.1035E-02 | $0 \cdot 4310 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $1 \cdot 684$ | $0 \cdot 996355 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 7058 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1306 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3599 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1275 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 5341 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

(b) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the first non-linear mode, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (first formulation)

|  |  | $a_{1}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{3}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{5}$ | $\varepsilon_{7}$ | $\varepsilon_{9}$ | $\varepsilon_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { error } \\ \mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 100113 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 223911 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 477043 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.594585 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0•137366E-06 | $0 \cdot 4421847 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0•174043E-07 | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $0 \cdot 114787 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 299574 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 833921 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1029328 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2374942 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 7642516 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.3007762E-04 | $0 \cdot 0$ |
| $0 \cdot 119525 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 362745 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.1329862E-01 | $0 \cdot 1635627 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3772044 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1213693 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.4776382E-04 | 1.7 |
| $0 \cdot 124697 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 432141 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0•1994879E-01 | 0.2443401E-02 | $0.5631821 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0•181185E-03 | $0 \cdot 7130047 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.8 |

(c) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the first non-linear mode, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (second formulation)

| $0 \cdot 100113 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 223912 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 476706 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 594929 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1375940E-06 | 0.4434244E-07 | 0.2043709E-07 | 0.0001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 114798 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 297389 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 734947 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.101316E-02 | 0.2436585E-03 | $0 \cdot 8013607 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.3662420E-04 | $0 \cdot 26$ |
| $0 \cdot 154979 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 822306 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 536749 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.944098 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2536978E-02 | $0 \cdot 8859714 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 4019288 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| $0 \cdot 161607 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.917103 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 634644 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 115561 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.3161695E-02 | $0 \cdot 1115430 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.5063787E-03 | $2 \cdot 23$ |

${ }^{\dagger} a_{1}$ : assigned value of the first CC beam function.
${ }^{\ddagger} \varepsilon_{i}$ : contribution of the $i$ th CC beam function to the first non-linear mode calculated via the first approximation.


Figure 6. Comparison between values of curvature at $x^{*}=0$ of the first non-linear mode shape of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 7. Comparison of frequencies for first non-linear CC beam mode shape. Key as for Figure 1.
$\{\mathbf{A}\}$ defined in reference [2] by $\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{6}\right]$ can be written as $\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{6}\right]$ in which $\varepsilon_{i}$, representing the $i$ th basic function contribution, may be considered as small, compared to $a_{1}$, for $i=2,3, \ldots, 6$. This shows that the two formulations, developed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, in the case of CC beams can be rederived in the case of CSS beams leading to two similar results. Hence, using the first formulation, the first non-linear amplitude-dependent CSS beam mode shape $\Phi_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)$, for a given assigned value $a_{1}$ of the first function contribution, can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)= & a_{1} \phi_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{1111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{22}^{*}\right)} \phi_{2}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{3111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{33}^{*}\right)} \phi_{3}^{*}(x)+\cdots+\frac{3 a_{1}^{3} b_{6111}^{*}}{2\left(\left(k_{11}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1111}^{*}\right)-k_{66}^{*}\right)} \phi_{6}^{*}(x) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing in equation (40) the CSS modal parameters by their numerical values given in Appendix A leads to the following expression of the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{n 11}^{*}\left(x, a_{1}\right)=a_{1} \phi_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{-443 \cdot 3652 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(237 \cdot 72+397 \cdot 4403 a_{1}^{2}\right)-2496 \cdot 48\right)} \phi_{2}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-392 \cdot 6061 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(237 \cdot 72+397 \cdot 4403 a_{1}^{2}\right)-10867 \cdot 58\right)} \phi_{3}^{*}(x)+\frac{-339 \cdot 7752 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(237 \cdot 72+397 \cdot 4403 a_{1}^{2}\right)-31780 \cdot 09\right)} \phi_{4}^{*}(x) \\
& +\frac{-295 \cdot 2315 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(237 \cdot 72+397 \cdot 4403 a_{1}^{2}\right)-74000 \cdot 84\right)} \phi_{5}^{*}(x)+\frac{-260 \cdot 0109 a_{1}^{3}}{2\left(\left(237 \cdot 72+397 \cdot 4403 a_{1}^{2}\right)-148634 \cdot 47\right)} \phi_{6}^{*}(x) . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

In Table 5(b), numerical results for modal contributions to the fundamental non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam, calculated via the first formulation are summarized. The results given correspond to the values of $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{6}$ obtained for assigned values of $a_{1}$ varying from $0 \cdot 05-1 \cdot 5$. For each solution, the corresponding values of $\omega_{n 1}^{*} / \omega_{1}^{*}$, the curvature calculated at $x^{*}=0$, and the maximum amplitude of vibration $w_{\max } / R$ are also given. Comparison between Tables 5 (b) and (a) shows that the higher mode contributions to the first non-linear beam mode shape obtained from the explicit expressions based on the first formulation are very close to those calculated via the solution of a non-linear algebraic system for finite amplitudes of vibration up to $0 \cdot 8$ times the beam thickness (which corresponds to $a_{1} \cong 0 \cdot 52$. For higher values of the vibration amplitude, slight differences start to appear and increase with increase of the amplitude of vibration, as may be seen in Figures 12 and 13, in which contributions obtained from the first and second formulation are plotted versus the maximum beam vibration amplitude $w_{\max }$ obtained in the neighbourhood of $x^{*}=0 \cdot 58$, and compared with the exact numerical solution. It was found, as may be seen from Figures 12 and 13, that for amplitudes up to 0.8 times the beam thickness, the error induced by the first formulation does not exceed $0.35 \%$ for the non-linear frequency and $1.3 \%$ for the curvature (and hence the non-linear bending stress) at the beam clamps. The error induced in the second formulation for amplitudes up to 1.5 times the beam thickness does not exceed $0 \cdot 33 \%$ for the non-linear frequency and $0.83 \%$ for the curvature at the clamped end of the beam.

## 4. A NEW SIMPLIFIED APPROACH TO THE NON-LINEAR STEADY STATE FORCED PERIODIC RESPONSE OF BEAMS AT LARGE VIBRATION AMPLITUDES

### 4.1. REVIEW OF THE THEORY AND THE SINGLE-MODE CASE

The model presented in section 2 has been recently extended to the case of non-linear forced vibration of beams $[4,5]$. The authors assume that the structure is excited by the force $F(x, t)$ distributed over the range $\bar{S}(\bar{S}$ is the length of the beam or a part of it); the physical force $F(x, t)$ excites the modes of the structure via a set of generalized forces $F_{i}(t)$ which depend on the expression for $F$, the excitation point for concentrated forces, the excitation length for distributed forces, and the mode considered. The generalized forces $F_{i}(t)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(t)=\int_{\bar{S}} F(x, t) w_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Table 2

(a) Free vibration in the second non-linear mode of a CC beam obtained numerically from solution of the non-linear algebraic equations, published in reference [2]

| $\omega^{*} n 1 / \omega 1$ | $\mathrm{~d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{6}$ | $a_{8}$ | $a_{10}$ | $a_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \cdot 002$ | $0 \cdot 617601 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1225 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 2313 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 6742 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 2509 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 1129 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| $1 \cdot 072$ | $0 \cdot 388084 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 2466 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 4873 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1450 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 5456 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 2472 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1 \cdot 124$ | $0 \cdot 534804 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 5547 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1133 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3420 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1298 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 5914 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1 \cdot 187$ | $0 \cdot 694579 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1018 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2159 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 6636 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 2544 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1167 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $1 \cdot 421$ | $0 \cdot 126428 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3325 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 7998 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2621 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1044 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.4904 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $1 \cdot 510$ | $0 \cdot 148572 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 9000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4361 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1093 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3666 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1480 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 7022 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $2 \cdot 101$ | $0 \cdot 312271 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1 \cdot 2229 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3774 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1444 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 6363 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3208 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

(b) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the second non-linear mode shape, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (first formulation)

|  |  | $a_{2}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{4}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{6}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{8}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{10}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{12}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { error } \\ \mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 100208 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 617602 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.1228236E-04 | $0 \cdot 231435 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.674261 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.2507434E-06 | 0.132415E-06 | $0 \cdot 00025$ |
| $0 \cdot 107197 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 389105 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2694511E-02 | $0 \cdot 501543 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 145801 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.5418612E-04 | 0.286085E-04 | $0 \cdot 28$ |
| $0 \cdot 112398 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.538937 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.6467973E-02 | 0-119197E-02 | 0.345908E-03 | 0.1284896E-03 | 0.678257E-04 | $0 \cdot 85$ |
| $0 \cdot 118671 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.706620 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.1284211E-01 | $0 \cdot 233598 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.676371 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 2510783 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 132504 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1 \cdot 9$ |

(c) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the second non-linear mode, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (second formulation)

| $0 \cdot 100208 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 617595 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1224535 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 231003 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 6731965 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.2503251E-06 | 0•1125662E-06 | $0 \cdot 0001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 142140 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 127028 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3406493 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 823521 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2708356E-02 | 0•1080743E-02 | $0 \cdot 5519784 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| $0 \cdot 151026 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 149511 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 9000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 4476218 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 112917 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3804838 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.1541429E-02 | $0 \cdot 8046572 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.33 |
| $0 \cdot 210076 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 316849 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $0 \cdot 1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 126810 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 393506 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1521860 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.6771930E-02 | 0.3967705E-02 | $3 \cdot 42$ |

${ }^{\dagger} a_{2}$ : assigned value of the second CC beam function.
${ }_{\tau_{i}}$ : contribution of the $i$ th CC beam function to the second non-linear mode calculated via the first approximation.

## Table 3

(a) Free vibration in the third non-linear mode of a CC beam obtained numerically from solution of the non-linear algebraic equations, published in reference [2]

| $\omega^{*} n 1 / \omega 1$ | $\mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{7}$ | $a_{9}$ | $a_{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.003 | $0 \cdot 121085 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3820 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1812 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 4350 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.1489E-05 | $0 \cdot 6165 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1.039 | $0 \cdot 494944 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.2309 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2000 E+00$ | 0•1109E-02 | 0.2732E-03 | 0.9463E-04 | 0.3945E-04 |
| 1.086 | $0.762373 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.7260 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3539 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.8996E-03 | 0.3163E-03 | 0.1330E-03 |
| 1.149 | $0 \cdot 105097 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0 \cdot 1572 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 7798 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2062E-02 | $0.7393 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 3145 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $1 \cdot 403$ | $0 \cdot 206864 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.6030 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3160 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 9561 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3687 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.1642E-02 |
| 1.504 | $0 \cdot 245906 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.7994 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4255 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1344 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.5321 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2410E-02 |
| 2.316 | $0.572554 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.2449 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1388 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 5441 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2511E-01 | $0 \cdot 1273 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

(b) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the first non-linear mode, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (first formulation)

|  |  | $\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $a_{3}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{5}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{7}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{9}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{11}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { error } \\ \mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 100250 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 121085 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.381498 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 5000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.182060E-04 | $0 \cdot 435693 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 148982 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 612790 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 0005$ |
| $0 \cdot 103929 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 495555 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 226586 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0•119162E-02 | $0 \cdot 280421 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 955515 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.392572E-04 | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| $0 \cdot 108623 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.766872 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.697771 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 414718 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 953614 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 323402 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 132667 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 6$ |
| $0 \cdot 114845 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 106931 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0 \cdot 147336 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0•102792E-01 | 0.228472E-02 | $0 \cdot 769644 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 315051 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.8 |

(c) Frequency ratios of free vibration in the third non-linear mode, curvatures and modal participation of a CC beam at various amplitudes obtained by the present model (second formulation)

|  |  | $\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{\text {§ }}$ | $a_{3}{ }^{\S}$ | $\varepsilon_{5}$ | $\varepsilon_{7}$ | $\varepsilon_{9}$ | $\varepsilon_{11}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 100250 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 121086 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 382003 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 05$ | 0•181396E-04 | $0 \cdot 435668 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 149263 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 643024 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 0009$ |
| $0 \cdot 130924 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 170607 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.427742 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 221210 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 640552 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 241027 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 108201 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.95 |
| $0 \cdot 140323 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 207296 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.603100 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 70$ | $0 \cdot 318049 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 963465 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 372022 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 169202 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| $0 \cdot 150397 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 246532 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $-0.797662 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 80$ | $0 \cdot 428455 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 135501 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 537010 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 247661 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 8$ |

${ }^{\dagger} \varepsilon_{i}$ : contribution of the $i$ th CC beam function to the third non-linear mode calculated via the first approximation.
$\ddagger a_{3}$ : assigned value of the third CC beam function.
${ }^{\S} a_{3}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}$ as defined in Table 1(c).

## Table 4

Analysis of the effect of various truncations of the series (39) on the estimation of the first CC non-linear mode shape

| Series | One term | Two terms | 3 terms | 4 terms | Five terms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w_{\text {max/h }}^{*}$ | 0.43 | 0.72 | 1 | 1.6 | 2.74 |
| Percentage error $(\omega n l)$ | 0.015 | 0.044 | 0 | 0.033 | - |
| Percentage error $(M(0))$ | 2 | 2.13 | 1.9 | 1.95 | 2 |



Figure 8. Comparison of frequencies for second non-linear CC beam mode shape. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 9. Comparison of values of curvature at $x^{*}=0$ of the second non-linear mode shape of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 10. Comparison of frequencies for third non-linear CC beam mode shape. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 11. Comparison of values of curvature at $x^{*}=0$ of the third non-linear mode shape of a CC beam. Key as for Figure 1.
in which $w_{i}$ is the $i$ th mode of the beam considered. Adding the forcing term $\{\mathbf{F}(t)\}$ to the right side of equation (13) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{M}]\{\ddot{\mathbf{q}}\}+[\mathbf{K}]\{\mathbf{q}\}+2[\mathbf{B}(\{\mathbf{q}\})]\{\mathbf{q}\}=\{\mathbf{F}(t)\} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If only one mode is assumed, equation (43) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{11} \ddot{q}_{1}+k_{11} q_{1}+2 q_{1}^{3} b_{1111}=F_{1}(t) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $m_{11}, k_{11}$ and $b_{1111}$ are the mass, the rigidity and the non-linearity terms corresponding to the first mode respectively.

Assuming harmonic response $q_{1}(t)=a_{1} \cos (\omega t)$,

Table 5
(a) Free vibration in the first non-linear mode of a CSS beam obtained numerically from solution of the non-linear algebraic equations

| $\omega^{*} n 1 / \omega 1$ | $\mathrm{d}^{2} w / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{4}$ | $a_{5}$ | $a_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,002087 | $0 \cdot 154398 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.5000E-01 | $0 \cdot 1225117 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 2312528 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 6741729 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 2509223 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0 \cdot 1098593 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 1,258408 | $0 \cdot 216928 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1639346 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3623392 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1136069 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 4405307 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1979033 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1,337087 | $0 \cdot 264171 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 2412754 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 5563156 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1782364 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 6999476 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.3169929E-03 |
| 1,421447 | $0 \cdot 315288 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3325070 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.7997489 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2620889 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 1043251 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 4766001 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1,896606 | $0 \cdot 626531 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9409120 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2725013 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1000441 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4283471 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2054763 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1,998349 | $0.698855 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 108296 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3232574 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1211944 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 5264907 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 2551353 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2,101522 | $0.774096 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 122922 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.3772766E-01 | $0 \cdot 1443140 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 6359111 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 3112962 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

(b) Non-linear free vibration in CSS beam showing modal participation with three symmetric modes and three anti-symmetric modes (first formulation)

| $w$ (centre) $/ R$ | $\omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*}$ | Curvature ( $x=0$ ) | $a_{1}{ }^{\dagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{2}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{3}{ }^{\text { }}$ | $\varepsilon_{4}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\varepsilon_{5}{ }^{\text { }}$ | $\varepsilon_{6}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { error } \\ \mathrm{d}^{2} W / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 26132964 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 10020880 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 15439921 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.500E-01 | $0 \cdot 12282396 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0 \cdot 23143790 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0 \cdot 67427548 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.25087025E-06 | $0 \cdot 1098107 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.00024 |
| $0 \cdot 31077075 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 12582715 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 22410445 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 600 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.22650005E-01 | 0.40534567E-02 | $0 \cdot 11704228 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.43434081 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $0 \cdot 1899348 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| $0 \cdot 36129913 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 13374585 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 27890296 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 700 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.36868148E-01 | 0.64686236E-02 | $0 \cdot 18616527 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 69020173 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.3017147E-03 | 6.33 |
| $0 \cdot 41118559 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 14237415 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.34258094 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.56671151 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.97112937 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0 \cdot 27842074 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0•10311079E-02 | $0 \cdot 4505543 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 9.97 |

(c) Non-linear free vibration in CSS beam showing modal participation with three symmetric modes and three anti-symmetric modes (second formulation)

| $0.26132967 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.10020880 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.15439890 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.500 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.12253721 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.23130371 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.67433109 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.25097817 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.1098812 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.41321387 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14213227 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.31727052 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0.800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.34078831 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.82397057 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.27086732 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.10802728 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.4941361 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $0.66413343 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.18962301 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.63549443 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 130 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.96940350 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.28338974 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.10481299 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.45113644 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.2172172 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $0.71383167 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.19979340 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.70971429 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0.140 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.11159476 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.33630323 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.12709629 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.55537658 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.2702730 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $0.76341938 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.21010745 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.78695934 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0.150 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.12666906 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.39253258 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.15142641 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.67153395 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.3302817 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

$a_{1}$ : assigned value of the first CSS beam function.
$\varepsilon_{i}$ : contribution of the $i$ th CSS beam function to the first non-linear mode calculated via the first approximation.


Figure 12. Comparison of frequencies for first non-linear CSS beam mode shape. Key as for Figure 1.


Figure 13. Comparison of values of curvature at $x^{*}=0$ of the first non-linear mode shape of a CSS beam. Key as for Figure 1.
substituting equation (45) into equation (44) and applying the harmonic balance method produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{11}-\omega^{2} m_{11}\right) a_{1}+\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{1111}=F_{1} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the non-dimensional parameters $m_{11}^{*}, k_{11}^{*}, \omega^{*}$ and $b_{1111}^{*}$ defined in equations (17-20) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega^{*} / \omega_{L}^{*}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2} b_{1111}^{*} a_{1}^{2} / k_{11}^{*}-F_{1}^{*} / a_{1} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless generalized forces $F^{* c}$ and $F^{* d}$ corresponding to the concentrated force at $x_{0}$ and the uniformity distributed force on the whole beam span are given in reference [4] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{* c}=\frac{L^{3} F^{c}}{E I H} w_{i}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) ; \quad F_{i}^{* d}=\frac{L^{4} F^{d}}{E I H} \int_{0}^{1} w_{i}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*} . \tag{48,49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In reference [4], to facilitate comparisons with previously published numerical results, the deflection function was written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, t)=R \mathscr{A} w(x) q(t) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the amplitude $\mathscr{A}$ is given in the one mode case by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}=(12)^{1 / 2} a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ is the beam radius of gyration defined as $R=\sqrt{I / S}$. This allows equation (47) to be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega^{*} / \omega_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{b_{1111}^{*}}{8 k_{11}^{*}} \mathscr{A}^{2} /\left(w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2)\right)^{2}-F / \mathscr{A} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $F$ is defined in the case of a distributed or a concentrated harmonic force, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{d}=\mathscr{F}^{d} \frac{L^{4}}{k_{11}^{*} E I R} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{L} w_{i}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*}, \quad F^{c}=\mathscr{F}^{c} \frac{L^{3}}{k_{11}^{*} E I R} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) w_{i}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{53,54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The single mode approach consists of neglecting all the co-ordinates except the single "resonant" co-ordinate. This approach is very often used because it introduces a great simplification in the theory and the error that it introduces in the non-linear frequency remains small [4]. However, it was demonstrated in reference [5] that this simplification gives erroneous results for the estimation of non-linear bending stresses, and consequently for the estimated fatigue life of the beam, which is affected by the significant contributions of the higher modes.

### 4.2. A NEW SIMPLIFIED MULTI-MODE APPROACH

In spite of the simplicity of the single-mode approach and its ability to predict quite accurately the non-linear frequency response curve in the neighbourhood of the resonance considered, it remains insufficient because it does not give any information about the amplitude dependence of the response deflection shape, with its practically important effect on the strain and stress distributions, which are quantities of crucial importance with respect to structural safety and fatigue life prediction. In order to remedy this insufficiency, a multi-mode approach to the steady state periodic non-linear forced response was developed in reference [5], with the objective of predicting the non-linear frequency response function of the beam, not only at its maximum deflection point, i.e., the beam centre when considering the first resonance, but for the whole span of the beam. Numerical results have been obtained for various values and types of excitation force (concentrated and distributed) via the solution in each case of a set of non-linear algebraic equations. The purpose of the present section is to develop a new simplified approach allowing explicit calculation of the non-linear steady state periodic forced response of beams.
Reconsider now equation (43):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}^{*}\right]\right)\{\mathbf{A}\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\mathbf{B}^{*}(\mathbf{A})\right]\{\mathbf{A}\}=\left\{\mathbf{F}^{*}(t)\right\} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation appears as a generalization to the non-linear case of the classical linear forced response problem which is very well known in modal analysis theory [18], i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}^{*}\right]\right)\{\mathbf{A}\}=\left\{\mathbf{F}^{*}(t)\right\} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

to which the correcting term $\frac{3}{2}\left[\mathbf{B}^{*}(\mathbf{A})\right]\{\mathbf{A}\}$, corresponding to the non-linear geometrical rigidity, is added. If the excitation is harmonic, i.e., $\left\{\mathbf{F}^{*}(t)\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{F}^{*}\right\} \sin \omega t$, the linear response calculated from equation (56) is also harmonic. As in the beam case considered here, [K] and $[\mathbf{M}]$ are diagonal, the response is given by the function $\mathbf{W}^{*}(x, t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{*}(x, t)=\sum_{i} a_{i} w_{i}^{*}(x)=\sum_{i} \frac{F_{i}^{*}}{\left(k_{i i}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i i}^{*}\right)} w_{i}^{*}(x) \sin \omega t \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the non-linear case, previous experimental and theoretical works have shown that harmonic distortion of the response occurs at large vibration amplitudes, even when the excitation is harmonic with a spatial distribution of the first and higher harmonics, so that the response may be written [1] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left\{\mathbf{A}_{k}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}\{\mathbf{W}\} \sin k \omega t \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mathbf{A}_{k}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1}^{k} a_{2}^{k}, \ldots, a_{n}^{k}\right]$ is the matrix of coefficients corresponding to the $k$ th harmonic, $\{\mathbf{W}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[w_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$ is the basic spatial function matrix, $k$ is the number of harmonics taken into account, and the usual summation convention on the repeated index $k$ is used. Examination of this effect would have exceeded the scope of the present work, restricted to the first harmonic distribution amplitude dependence. So, the response has been assumed to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x, t)=a_{i} w_{i}(x) \sin \omega t \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the last equation in (13) and applying the harmonic balance method leads to the following system of non-linear algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}^{*}\right]\right)\{\mathbf{A}\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\mathbf{B}^{*}(\mathbf{A})\right]\{\mathbf{A}\}=\left\{\mathbf{F}^{*}\right\} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written using tensor notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} k_{i r}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} a_{i} m_{i r}^{*}+\frac{3}{2} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=F_{i}^{*}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last system is similar to that obtained in equation (23) in the free case with two differences: (1) in the free case, $i$ varies from $2-n$ and the first equation is omitted, because the first contribution $a_{1}$ was assigned; (2) all of the $n$ equations have a rightside representing the forcing term $F_{i}^{*}$. A simplified method for solving this system is presented in the next two subsections.

### 4.2.1. First formulation

Consider now the non-linear system (61) and apply the first formulation which, as in the case of large-amplitude free vibrations, consists in neglecting in the expression $a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}$ of equation (61) both first and second order terms with respect to $\varepsilon_{i}$, i.e., terms of the type $a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} b_{11 i r}$ or of the type $a_{1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} b_{1 i j r}$. In the neighbourhood of the first resonance the above equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{i i}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i i}^{*}\right) a_{i}+\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i r r r}^{*}=F_{i}^{*}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system permits one to obtain explicitly the modal contributions $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ corresponding to a given value of the contribution $a_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\left(F_{i}^{*}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i 111}^{*}\right) /\left(k_{i i}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{i i}^{*}\right) \quad(i=2,, \ldots, n) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first harmonic component of the non-linear steady state forced periodic response $W^{*}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\omega *}^{*}(x, t)= & {\left[\frac{F_{1}^{*}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{1111}^{*}}{\left(k_{11}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{11}^{*}\right)} w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{F_{3}^{*}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{3111}^{*}}{\left(k_{33}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{33}^{*}\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x)+\cdots\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{F_{11}^{*}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{11111}^{*}}{\left(k_{1111}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{1111}^{*}\right)} w_{11}^{*}(x)\right] \sin \omega . \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (64) is an extension to the non-linear case of equation (57) obtained in linear modal analysis, in which the beam total response $W_{\omega^{*}}^{*}(x, t)$ appears as the sum of the linear response $W_{\omega^{*} 1}^{*}(x, t)$ given by equation (57) and a term due to the non-linearity $W_{\omega^{*} n 1}^{*}(x, t)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\omega^{*} n 1}^{*}(x, t)= & -\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3}\left[\left(b_{1111}^{*} /\left(k_{11}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{11}^{*}\right)\right) w_{1}^{*}(x)+\frac{b_{3111}^{*}}{\left(k_{33}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{33}^{*}\right)} w_{3}^{*}(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\left(b_{11111}^{*} /\left(k_{1111}^{*}-\omega^{* 2} m_{1111}^{*}\right)\right) w_{11}^{*}(x)\right] \sin \omega t \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where the cubic non-linear term $a_{1}^{3}$ may be obtained from the excitation frequency $\omega^{*}$ and the excitation force $F_{1}^{*}$ via equation (47) obtained from the single-mode approach.

### 4.3. SECOND FORMULATION

As in the free vibration case, the explicit formulae established via the first formulation developed in the above subsection yield accurate results for relatively small amplitudes of vibration and excitation forces. For higher amplitudes, a second formulation is considered in which only second order terms of the type $\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} a_{1} b_{i j 1 r}$ are neglected in equation (61) and rewritten here as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega^{* 2} a_{i} m_{i r}^{*}+a_{i} k_{i r}^{*}+\frac{3}{2} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=F_{i}, \quad r=1, \ldots, n \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separating in the non-linear expression $a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}$ terms proportional to $a_{1}^{3}$, terms proportional to $a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i}$, and neglecting terms proportional to $a_{1} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} b_{i j k r}^{*}=a_{1}^{3} b_{111 r}^{*}+a_{1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} b_{11 i r}^{*} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and after substituting and rearranging, equation (67) can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}_{R \mathrm{II}}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}_{R \mathrm{II}}^{*}\right]\right)\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{II}}\right\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}^{*}\right]\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R \mathrm{I}}\right\}=\left\{F_{i}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i 111}^{*}\right\} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\left[\mathbf{K}_{R I}^{*}\right]=\left[\mathbf{k}_{i j}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{M}_{R I I}^{*}\right]=\left[\mathbf{m}_{i j}^{*}\right]$ are reduced rigidity and mass matrices associated with the first non-linear mode, obtained by varying $i$ and $j$ in the set $(3,5, \ldots, 11)$, $\left[\alpha_{1}^{*}\right]$ is a $5 \times 5$ square matrix, depending on $a_{1}$, whose general term $\alpha_{i j}^{*}$ is equal to $a_{1}^{2} b_{i j 11}^{*}$ and
$\left\{F_{i}-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}^{3} b_{i 111}^{*}\right\}$ is a column vector representing the right side of the linear system (68) in which the reduced unknown vector is $\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R 1}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}\right]$. The modal contributions $\varepsilon_{3}, \varepsilon_{5}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}$ can be obtained quite easily by solving the linear system (68) of five equations and five unknowns.

Based on this formulation, in the neighbourhood of the $r$ th mode shape, equation (24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[\mathbf{K}_{R r}^{*}\right]-\omega^{* 2}\left[\mathbf{M}_{R r}^{*}\right]\right)\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R r}\right\}+\frac{3}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{R}^{*}\right]\left\{\mathbf{A}_{R r}\right\}=\left\{F_{i}-\frac{3}{2} a_{r}^{3} b_{i r r r}^{*}\right\}, \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha_{r}^{*}\right]=\left[a_{r}^{2} b_{i j r r}^{*}\right] \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal contributions $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ for $i \neq j$ can be obtained quite easily by solving the matrix problem.


Figure 14. Comparison between resonance curves for forced vibration of a CC beam under a harmonic concentrated force $F^{c}=200$ at the centre of the beam obtained by (a) first ( --- ), second (-) approximations or values from reference [5] (- ) and (b) by (1) exact solution (■ ■), (2) first formulation (6D) and (3) second formulation (6D) (3).

### 4.4. COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS OBTAINED BY THE FIRST AND SECOND FORMULATIONS WITH PREVIOUS RESULTS

The validation of the two formulations developed in the previous section has been made via two types of comparisons: (1) The non-linear response curves obtained by the present formulations have been compared in Figures 14-17 to that obtained previously for three values of the concentrated excitation force (corresponding to $F^{c}=200,500$ and 1000) and one value of the distributed excitation force and a range of non-dimensional vibration amplitudes up to $1 \cdot 4$ times the beam thickness. All curves show a very good agreement with a slight shift towards the right of the curves obtained by the first formulation for relatively


Figure 15. Comparison between resonance curves for forced vibration of a CC beam under a harmonic concentrated force $F^{c}=500$ at the centre of the beam obtained by first ( ---- ), second ( - ) approximations or exact solution from reference [5]


Figure 16. Comparison between resonance curves for forced vibration of a CC beam under a harmonic distributed force $F^{d}=1000$ obtained by first (1) or second (2) approximations or exact solution (3) taken from reference [5].


Figure 17. Comparison between resonance curves for forced vibration of a CC beam under a harmonic distributed force $F=1$ obtained by first (1) or second (2) approximations or exact solution (3) taken from reference [17].
small amplitudes (corresponding to a harder non-linear behaviour) compared with that obtained by the second formulation. For higher amplitudes, the shift is much more pronounced (Fig. 14(b)). (2) The second way of validating the results was based on the comparison of the percentages of participation obtained here with those given in reference [5] and obtained from the numerical solution of the non-linear algebraic system in each case. Also, comparisons have been made of the curvatures obtained at $x^{*}=0$ via the different approaches. It was necessary to do so because the main feature of the multi-mode approach is its ability to give information concerning the effect of non-linearity on the bending stress patterns. The moments and the percentage of participation are summarized in Tables 6-8. It can be seen in these tables that, for the range of excitation forces and amplitudes considered, the three approaches agree quite well, and that the error induced by the first formulation on the percentage contributions of higher modes becomes relatively significant for amplitudes of vibration above 0.8 times the beam thickness while the second formulation remains good for amplitudes up to $1 \cdot 5$ times the beam thickness.

## 5. GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

Considering the free vibration case, a simple approximate analytical expression for the higher mode contribution coefficients to the first three non-linear mode shapes of CC beams and to the first non-linear mode shape of CSS beam have been obtained, which coincides with the numerical solution of the non-linear algebraic system previously developed, for amplitudes up to about 0.7 of the beam thickness. For displacement amplitudes greater than the thickness, an improved formulation is presented, which leads to the exact numerical solution, via the inversion of a $5 \times 5$ matrix, which makes obtaining the non-linear mode shapes and resonance frequencies of beams very easy, for a wide range of vibration amplitudes. A similar approach has been applied to the forced response case, enabling explicit determination of the non-linear multi-mode steady state periodic forced response for finite but relatively small vibration amplitudes. The form of the explicit

Table 6
Frequency ratios of non-linear forced vibration of a CC beam under a harmonic concentrated force at the centre of the beam (FORCC $=200$ ) showing modal participation with six symmetric modes and comparison with the single mode analysis obtained from reference [5]

| $W$ (centre) $/ R$ | $\omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*}$ | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\begin{gathered} W \\ (\text { centre }) / R \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*} \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \cdot 005353$ | 0•1000000 | $96 \cdot 39219$ | $-2 \cdot 874071$ | $0 \cdot 5100822$ | $-0 \cdot 1407441$ | $0 \cdot 569181 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 2593153 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 0•1928962 |
| $1 \cdot 500927$ | $0 \cdot 6450033$ | 97.75072 | $-1.740592$ | $0 \cdot 3633680$ | $-0 \cdot 8877219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.3985901E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1668785 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | 0.6552246 |
| $2 \cdot 000284$ | $0 \cdot 8177417$ | 98.57255 | $-1.020286$ | $0 \cdot 3050060$ | $-0.5823117 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.3245784E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1146226 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 8221617$ |
| $2 \cdot 500852$ | 0.9343069 | $99 \cdot 19306$ | $-0.4468246$ | $0 \cdot 2876531$ | $-0.3529215 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2944710 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7718413 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 0.9369499 |
| $3 \cdot 000302$ | 1.031101 | 99.58905 | $0.678134 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2944021$ | $-0 \cdot 1518428 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2897176E-01 | $-0 \cdot 4573171 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 033773$ |
| $3 \cdot 500347$ | $1 \cdot 120242$ | 99.09354 | $0 \cdot 5550099$ | $0 \cdot 3156096$ | $0 \cdot 4179276 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.3003896E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1619004 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 123735$ |
| $4 \cdot 000838$ | $1 \cdot 206412$ | 98.56756 | 1.025542 | $0 \cdot 3495403$ | $0 \cdot 2357336 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.3249186E-01 | 0•1287302E-02 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 211345$ |
| $4 \cdot 500776$ | $1 \cdot 291557$ | 98.03852 | 1.483981 | 0.3935817 | $0 \cdot 4354710 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3610522 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4260943 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 298619$ |
| 5.000225 | $1 \cdot 376679$ | $97 \cdot 51002$ | 1.931526 | 0.4459033 | $0 \cdot 6442957 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4074151 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 7377538 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 386487$ |
| $-5.000281$ | 1.514947 | $-96.66279$ | $-2 \cdot 891753$ | $-0.2879580$ | $-0.1186933$ | $-0 \cdot 2120875 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1759812 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-5.0$ | $1 \cdot 524941$ |

Table 6
Continued

| $W$ (centre) $/ R$ | $\omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*}$ | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\begin{gathered} { }_{\text {W }} \\ \text { (centre) } / R \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*} \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-4.500031$ | $1 \cdot 453275$ | -97.05431 | -2.601457 | -0.2101410 | $-0.1045837$ | -0.1381919E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1568881 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -4.5 | $1 \cdot 460924$ |
| $-4.000338$ | 1.398263 | -97.41199 | -2.339205 | $-0.1347016$ | $-0.9306238 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.6814156 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0.1422664 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -4.0 | $1 \cdot 404005$ |
| $-3 \cdot 500208$ | 1.351558 | -97.72275 | -2.118571 | $-0.608941 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 8447389 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.4549625 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $-0 \cdot 1326006 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-3 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 355963$ |
| -3.000673 | $1 \cdot 315708$ | -97.92974 | -1.958816 | $0 \cdot 125113 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7936939 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 6690977 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0 \cdot 1287480 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-3.0$ | $1 \cdot 319287$ |
| -2.500924 | $1 \cdot 294311$ | -97.91839 | $-1.887597$ | $0 \cdot 883801 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7863952 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1377245 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1322210 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.5 | $1 \cdot 297718$ |
| -2.000244 | $1 \cdot 293513$ | -97.74815 | -1.958049 | 0-1729352 | $-0 \cdot 8425936 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2195301E-01 | -0.1464926E-01 | $-2.0$ | $1 \cdot 297573$ |
| -1-499999 | 1.325402 | -97.29274 | -2.275527 | $0 \cdot 2805047$ | $-0 \cdot 1004443$ | $0 \cdot 3287201 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1791381 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.5 | 1.331533 |
| -1.000629 | 1.420321 | -96.20075 | -3.130726 | $0 \cdot 4529746$ | -0.1391726 | $0 \cdot 5119060 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.2518677E-01 | $-1.0$ | 1.432725 |
| $-0.8007563$ | $1 \cdot 494452$ | -95.35081 | -3.817971 | 0.5680137 | -0.1688901 | $0 \cdot 6365799 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 3065426 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.8 | 1.512764 |
| $-0.6005462$ | 1.612104 | -93.94598 | -4.968149 | 0.7461758 | -0.2171378 | 0.8308178E-01 | $-0.3946856 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.6 | $1 \cdot 641878$ |
| $-0.4007967$ | 1.819032 | -91.26941 | -7.185005 | 1.066063 | -0.3059553 | $0 \cdot 1179550$ | $-0.5561330 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.4 | $1 \cdot 877914$ |
| $-0.3009815$ | 1.994473 | -88.78509 | -9•264190 | $1 \cdot 347756$ | $-0.3846030$ | $0 \cdot 1485001$ | $-0.6986182 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.3 | $2 \cdot 088828$ |
| $-0.2503182$ | 2.119254 | -86.89849 | -10.85583 | 1.553040 | -0.4418040 | 0•1706226 | $-0 \cdot 8020222 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -0.25 | $2 \cdot 243612$ |

Table 7
Non-linear forced vibration of a CC beam subjected to harmonic concentrated force $F=1 \cdot 0077$ (FORCC $=200$ ) showing modal participation with six symmetric modes (first formulation)

| $\mathrm{d}^{2} W / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $W$ (centre) $/ R$ | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*}$ <br> Present model (single mode) | $\begin{gathered} A=W_{\max } / R \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 71908274 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 10325769 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9646231 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2824562 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4983606 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1355028 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 5476799 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 2449011 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0•193387 | 1.00017 |
| $0 \cdot 11458715 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 15323330 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9775797 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1740115 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3614371 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8586619 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 0.3878778E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1581464 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.656072 | 1.50190 |
| $0 \cdot 15898021 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 20264197 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9854593 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1044725 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3096403 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.5675754 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3202125 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1092377 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 822252$ | 2.00034 |
| $0 \cdot 20630068 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 25206678 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9916643 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.4651104 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 2971029 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.3464866 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2931494E-01 | $-0.7391263 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.937378 | 2.50208 |
| $0 \cdot 25658820 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 30077824 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9955874 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 8427740 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3082440 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1533219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2894958E-01 | $-0.4454569 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 033868$ | 3.00051 |
| $0 \cdot 31120658 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 34933895 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9898501 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 6461930 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3338247 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3315436 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.2993354E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1720051 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 124133$ | 3.50225 |
| $0 \cdot 37015231 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 39702052 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9834215 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1230780 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3723589 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 2172130 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3209814 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 8890910 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1 \cdot 211465$ | 4.00069 |
| $0 \cdot 43495615 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 44435839 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9764004 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1858389 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4221708 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 4065583 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3523323 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3504226 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1-299043 | 4.50242 |
| $0 \cdot 50554703 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 49063124 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9688493 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 2528466 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4811537 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 6015659 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3914221 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.6145348 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 386639$ | 5.00086 |
| $-0.52925104 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.48331712 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9576487 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3804597 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 2822740 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1149053 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1736788 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1598328 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 52505$ | -5.00086 |

Table 7
Continued

| $\mathrm{d}^{2} W / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $W$ (centre)/R | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*} \\ \text { Present } \\ \text { model } \\ \text { (single mod } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} A=W_{\max } / R \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-0.45821852 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.43713363 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9639898 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3272698 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 2007412 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1020953 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1094803 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1453753 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 46121$ | $-4.50242$ |
| $-0.39302575 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 38987447 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9694845 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2819349 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 1226139 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9150286 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.4665231 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0 \cdot 1341368 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 40407$ | -4.00069 |
| $-0.33374590 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 34226053 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9739643 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2457706 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.4799523 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 8366226 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1505038 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0 \cdot 1269422 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 35615$ | -3.50225 |
| $-0.27884134 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.29375776 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9768063 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.2194200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 2581741 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7904012 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.7835158 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0.1246982 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.31931 | -3.00051 |
| $-0.22831753 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 24509413 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9773862 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2054195 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1009626 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7870679 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1458873 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1292117 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 29776$ | -2.50208 |
| $-0 \cdot 18080652 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 19570791 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9761040 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.2082723 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1851307 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 8472377 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2259752 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1441994 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.29756 | -2.00034 |
| $-0.13627198 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 14632788 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9717159 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.2382957 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 2927606 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1014852 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3345819 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1774451 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.33130 | $-1.50190$ |
| $-0.93506799 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.96369725 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9603598 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3272609 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4714415 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1423397 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5234670 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.2528117 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.43267 | $-1.00017$ |
| $-0.77086177 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.76588091 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9513621 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 4001458 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5922172 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1737985 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 6534287 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.3096589 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.51171 | $-0.80211$ |
| $-0.60653310 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.56438090 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9358551 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 5273890 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 7870285 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 2267201 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 8638899 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 4046070 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.64123 | -0.60076 |
| $-0.44850386 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.36551585 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9053749 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.7806086 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1146617 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 3264054 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1251436 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.5825178 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.87342 | $-0.40270$ |
| $-0 \cdot 36987735 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.26216701 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 8741314 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1043343 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1493186 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 4226705 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1621996 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7536718 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.08780 | -0.30038 |
| $-0.33355182 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.21171572 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 8500580 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.1247832 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1746378 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 4926781 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1890384 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8777989 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2 \cdot 24050$ | $-0.2508$ |

Table 8
Non-linear forced vibration in a CC beam subjected to a harmonic concentrated force $F=1 \cdot 0077$ (FORCC=200) showing modal participation with six symmetric modes (second formulation)

| $\mathrm{d}^{2} W / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $W$ (centre)/R | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*} \\ \text { Present } \\ \text { model } \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} A=W_{\max } / R \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 71959697 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 10322228 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9649959 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2791101 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4939064 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1356519 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 5443681 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 2531086 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0•193387 | 1.00017 |
| $0 \cdot 11476029 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 15315735 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9781268 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1691889 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3549499 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 8581266 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3841341 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1624833 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 656072$ | 1.50190 |
| $0 \cdot 15923274 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 20252974 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9860762 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.9922829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3009628 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.5646319 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3161973 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1104979 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 822252$ | 2.00034 |
| $0 \cdot 20644987 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 25195173 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9921761 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 4262719 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 2859637 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 3401156 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2893975E-01 | $-0.7197631 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 0.937378 | 2.50208 |
| $0 \cdot 25623354 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 30073875 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9957588 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 8308874 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2942265 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1421633 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2868522E-01 | $-0.3896686 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 033868$ | 3.00051 |
| $0 \cdot 30963046 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 34951635 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9907766 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 5698316 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3167595 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.5067776E-02 | 0.2993521E-01 | $-0.7365193 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1 \cdot 124133$ | 3.50225 |
| $0 \cdot 36625147 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 39763158 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9855149 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1037711 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3515577 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.2432946E-01 | $0 \cdot 3252382 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 2379863 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 211465$ | 4.00069 |
| $0 \cdot 42709426 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 44572097 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 9801924 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1497872 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 3966158 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 4438181 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 3627768 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 5606906 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 299043$ | 4.50242 |
| $0 \cdot 49154530 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 49316333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9749015 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1945017 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4495688 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 6526791 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 4101890 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 8970893 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1 \cdot 386639$ | 5.00086 |
| $-0 \cdot 5096230 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 48760427 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9665415 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2900570 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 2858806 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1190128 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 2115957 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1922716 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.52505 | -5.00086 |

Table 8
Continued

| $\mathrm{d}^{2} W / \mathrm{d} x^{2}(0)$ | $W$ (centre)/R | $a_{1}(\%)$ | $a_{3}(\%)$ | $a_{5}(\%)$ | $a_{7}(\%)$ | $a_{9}(\%)$ | $a_{11}(\%)$ | $\omega^{*} / \omega 1^{*}$ <br> Present <br> model <br> (single mode) | $\begin{gathered} A=W_{\max } / R \\ \text { (single mode) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-0 \cdot 4457298 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 43995533 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9704540 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2610598 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 2082039 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1049036 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1379716 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1709219 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 46121$ | -4.50242 |
| $-0.3854282 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 39166561 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9740520 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2347002 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 1323229 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9329576 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.6769168 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0 \cdot 1540276 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 40407$ | -4.00069 |
| $-0.3293156 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 34336236 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9771482 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 2127556 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 5862517 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.8471841 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.3374901 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $-0 \cdot 1423939 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 35615$ | $-3.50225$ |
| $-0.2763910 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 29441023 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9791601 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1968679 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1530017 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7960892 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 6720251 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0 \cdot 1367953 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.31931 | -3.00051 |
| $-0.2270275 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 24546817 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9790049 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1901519 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.9133406 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.7897644 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0 \cdot 1377822 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1389769 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 29776$ | $-2.50208$ |
| $-0 \cdot 1801749 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 19591204 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.9772055 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1980395 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1769237 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8485662 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 0.2200302E-01 | $-0 \cdot 1526875 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 29756$ | $-2.00034$ |
| $-0.1359994 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 14643114 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0 \cdot 9724510 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.2315392 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 2862921 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1016081 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 3301187 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 1859429 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 33130$ | $-1.50190$ |
| $-0.9343334 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.96412772 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9608090 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3231332 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 4668592 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1425601 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 5199319 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0 \cdot 2635034 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.43267 | $-1.00017$ |
| $-0.7706388 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.76615261 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9517090 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.3969389 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 5883584 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 1740944 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 6500561 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.3224902 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.51171 | -0.80211 |
| $-0.6067137 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.56452862 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9360985 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 5250993 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 7838353 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.2271320 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 8604513 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.4213569 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1 \cdot 64123$ | -0.60076 |
| $-0 \cdot 4489865 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.36557545 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 9055118 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.7792436 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0 \cdot 1143889 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.3270146 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1247422 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6073378 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.87342 | -0.40270 |
| $-0.3704903 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.26219347 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8742071 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1042490 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1490502 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.4234565 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1617200 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7870883 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2 \cdot 08780$ | $-0.30038$ |
| $-0.3342273 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.21172895 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0 \cdot 8501005 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0 \cdot 1247245 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0 \cdot 1743604 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.4935874 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0 \cdot 1884950 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9180378 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2 \cdot 24050$ | -0.2508 |

case which may appear as one more step towards the development of the "non-linear modal analysis theory" [8]. For very high amplitudes, the non-linear multi-mode steady state periodic forced response is still very easily obtained via inversion of a $5 \times 5$ square matrix and the solution coincides exactly with the numerical solution previously obtained from the non-linear algebraic system.
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## APPENDIX A: BEAM FUNCTIONS

## A.1. CLAMPED-CLAMPED BEAMS

The chosen basic functions $w_{i}$ were the linear CC beam functions

$$
w_{i}(x)=\frac{\operatorname{ch}\left(v_{i} x / L\right)-\cos \left(v_{i} x / L\right)}{\operatorname{ch} v_{i}-\cos v_{i}}-\frac{\operatorname{sh}\left(v_{i} x / L\right)-\sin \left(v_{i} x / L\right)}{\operatorname{sh} v_{i}-\sin v_{i}},
$$

where $v_{i}$ are the eigenvalue parameters for CC beam.
The values of the parameters $v_{i}$ have been computed by solving numerically the transcendental equation $\operatorname{ch} v_{i} \cos v_{i}=1$ using Newton's method and are given in Table A1.

The functions $w_{i}$ have been normalized in such a manner that

$$
m_{i j}^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} w_{i}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) w_{j}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*}=\delta_{i j}
$$

Table A1
Symmetric (a) and antisymmetric (b) eigenvalue parameters $v_{i}$ for a CC beam

|  | $(\mathrm{a})$ |  | $(\mathrm{b})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4 \cdot 73004075$ | 2 | $7 \cdot 85320462$ |
| 3 | $10 \cdot 99560784$ | 4 | $14 \cdot 13716549$ |
| 5 | $17 \cdot 27875966$ | 6 | $20 \cdot 42035225$ |
| 7 | $23 \cdot 56194490$ | 8 | $26 \cdot 70353756$ |
| 9 | $29 \cdot 84513021$ | 10 | $32 \cdot 98672286$ |
| 11 | $36 \cdot 12831552$ | 12 | $39 \cdot 26990817$ |

The tensors $k_{i j}^{*}$ and $b_{i j k l}^{*}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{i j}^{*} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W_{i}^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W_{j}^{*}}{\partial x^{* 2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*}=\mathbf{R I G}(i, j), \\
b_{i j k l}^{*} & =\alpha \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial W_{i}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial W_{j}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial W_{k}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial W_{1}^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*}=\alpha \mathbf{R A G}(i, j) \mathbf{R A G}(k, l),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha=S H^{2} / 4 I$ is the non-dimensional parameter characterizing the beam cross-section. For a CC beam of rectangular cross-section, corresponding to a value of $\alpha=3$, the matrixes

RIG $(i, j)$ and $\mathbf{R A G}(i, j)$ are given numerically (for $i, j=1,3,5,7,9,11$ ) by

$$
[\mathbf{R I G}(i, j)]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
500 \cdot 56 & & & & & \\
& 14617 \cdot 63 & & & & \\
& & 89135 \cdot 40 & & 308208 \cdot 45 & \\
& & & & 793406 \cdot 25 & \\
& & & & & 1691832 \cdot 35
\end{array}\right]
$$

with all non-diagonal terms of [RIG] equaling zero, and

$$
\left[\mathbf{R A G}(i, j)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
12.30 & -9.73 & -7.61 & -6.10 & -5.06 & -4.25 \\
-9.73 & 98.90 & -24.34 & -22.98 & -20.85 & -18.47 \\
-7.61 & -24.34 & 263.99 & -38.02 & -37.95 & -35.71 \\
-6.10 & -22.98 & -38.02 & 508.04 & -51.22 & -51.08 \\
-5.06 & -20.85 & -37.95 & -51.22 & 831.05 & -62.65 \\
-4.25 & -18.47 & -35.71 & -51.08 & -62.65 & 1236.08
\end{array}\right] .\right.
$$

## A.2. CLAMPED-SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAMS

The chosen basic functions for CSS beams are CSS beam linear mode shapes. The constants $v_{i}$ for a CSS beam are obtained by solving the equation $\operatorname{tg}\left(v_{i}\right)-\operatorname{th}\left(v_{i}\right)=0$ and are given in Table A2.

Table A2
Odd (a) and even (b) eigenvalue parameters $v_{i}$ for a CSS beam

|  | (a) |  | (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.926602312 | 2 | 7.068582745 |
| 3 | 10.210176122 | 4 | 13.351768777 |
| 5 | 16.493361431 | 6 | 19.634954084 |

The tensors $m_{i j}^{*}, k_{i j}^{*}$ and $b_{i j k l}^{*}$ are defined as in the case of a CC beam. For a CSS beam of rectangular cross-section, corresponding to a value of $\alpha=3$, the matrixes RIG $(i, j)$ and $\mathbf{R A G}(i, j)$ are given numerically (for $i, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$ ) by
$[\mathbf{R I G}(i, j)]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}237 \cdot 72 & & & & & \\ & 2496 \cdot 48 & & & & \\ & & 10867 \cdot 58 & & & \\ & & & 31780 \cdot 09 & & \\ & & & & 74000 \cdot 84 & \\ & & & & & 148634 \cdot 47\end{array}\right]$
with all non-diagonal terms of [RIG] equaling zero, and

$$
\left[\mathbf{R A G}(i, j)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
11.51 & -4.28 & -3.79 & -3.28 & -2.85 & -2.51 \\
-4.28 & 42.89 & -7.81 & -7.64 & -7.16 & -6.62 \\
-3.79 & -7.81 & 94.03 & -11.16 & -11.28 & -10.99 \\
-3.28 & -7.64 & -11.16 & 164.91 & -14.43 & -14.78 \\
-2.85 & -7.16 & -11.28 & -14.43 & 255.53 & -17.65 \\
-2.51 & -6.62 & -10.99 & -14.78 & -17.65 & 365.89
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

## APPENDIX B: DETAILS CORRESPONDING TO THE 1-D APPROACH

The single-mode approach, corresponding to the 1-D non-linear frequency response function gives [5]

$$
\left(\omega^{*} / \omega_{L}^{*}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2}\left(b_{1111}^{*} / k_{11}^{*}\right)\left((\mathscr{A})^{2} /\left(W_{1}^{*}(1 / 2)^{2}\right)-F^{*} / \mathscr{A} .\right.
$$

The pair of values $\omega^{*}$ and $a_{1}$ obtained from the equality $\mathscr{A}=W_{\max } / R=a_{1} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2)$ are then re-injected in equation (63) to calculate the modal contributions $a_{i}$ in the neighbourhood of the first mode shape.

If one looks to the expressions of non-dimensional concentrated force $F^{c}$ and distributed force $F^{d}$ given in reference [5], and recalls that in the second section of this paper

$$
F_{i}^{c}=\frac{\mathscr{F}^{c} L^{3}}{k_{11}^{*} E I R} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) w_{i}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad F_{i}^{d}=\frac{F^{d} L^{4}}{k_{11}^{*} E I R} w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{L} w_{i}^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{*},
$$

then one can put the non-dimensional ratio $F O R C D=F^{c} L^{3} / E I R$ and the non-dimensional ratio $F O R C D=F^{d} L^{4} / E I R$, then

$$
F_{i}^{c}=\left(F O R C C / k_{11}^{*}\right) w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) w_{i}^{*}\left(x_{0}\right), \quad F_{i}^{d}=\left(F O R C D / k_{11}^{*}\right) w_{1}^{*}(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{1} w_{i}^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{*}
$$

## APPENDIX C: NOMENCLATURE

| $V_{b}, V_{a}, V$ | bending, axial and total strain energy respectively |
| :---: | :---: |
| E | Young's modulus |
| $\rho$ | mass per unit length |
| L | length of the beam |
| S | area of cross-section |
| I | second moment of area of cross-section |
| H | thickness of the beam |
| $W(x, t)$ | transverse displacement at point $x$ on the beam |
| $T$ | kinetic energy |
| $x$ | point co-ordinate |
|  | generalized co-ordinate $q_{i}(t)=a_{i} \sin (\omega t)$ |
| \{A \} | column matrix of basic functions contributions to the free or forced response $\{\mathbf{A}\}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ |
| $k_{i j}, m_{i j}, b_{i j k l}$ | general terms of the rigidity tensor, mass tensor and non-linearity tensor respectively |
| [K], [M], [B] | rigidity, mass and non-linearity matrix respectively |
| $\phi_{i}^{*}$ | the $i$ th linear mode shape of the clamped-simply supported beam |
| $w_{i}^{*}$ | the $i$ th linear mode shape of the clamped-clamped beam |


| $k_{11}, m_{11}, b_{1111}$ | rigidity, mass and non-linearity parameters corresponding to the one mode |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[a_{1} \varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}\right]$ | assumed, respectively. <br> column matrix of the contribution coefficients to the first non-linear CC beam mode shape |
| $\left[a_{2} \varepsilon_{4}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{12}\right]$ | column matrix of the contribution coefficients to the second non-linear CC beam mode shape |
| $\left[\varepsilon_{1} a_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{11}\right]$ | column matrix of the contribution coefficients to the third non-linear CC beam mode shape |
| $\left[a_{1} \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{6}\right]$ | column matrix of the contribution coefficients to the first non-linear CSS beam mode shape |
| $\underline{F}(x, t)$ | exciting force |
| $\bar{S}$ | range of application of the exciting force |
| $\{F(t)\}$ | column matrix of generalized forces $F_{i}(t)$ |
| $F_{1}(t)$ | generalized force corresponding to the one mode assumed |
| $k_{i j}^{*}, m_{i j}^{*}, b_{i j k l}^{*}$ | general terms of the non-dimensional rigidity tensor, mass tensor and non-linearity tensor respectively |
| $\omega, \omega^{*}$ | frequency and non-dimensional frequency parameter respectively |
| * | star exponent indicates non-dimensional parameters |
| $w_{n l i}^{*}\left(x, a_{i}\right)$ | the $i$ th CC beam non-linear mode shape for a given assigned value $a_{i}$ of the $i$ th function contribution |
| $w_{\omega^{*} 1}^{*}(x, t)$ | linear term in the first harmonic component of the non-linear steady state forced periodic response |
| $w_{\omega^{*} n l}^{*}(x, t)$ | the term due to non-linearity in the first harmonic component of the non-linear steady state forced periodic response |
| $w_{\omega^{*}}^{*}(x, t)$ | the first harmonic component of the non-linear steady state forced periodic response |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ This limitation has been overcome to some extent in the asymptotic numerical model presented in reference [14].

